

CONTENT

Chapter No.	Title	Page No.
1	Introduction	1-18
2	Review of Literature	19-67
3	Methodology	68-87
4	Results and Discussion	88-159
5	Summary and Conclusion	160-168
	References	169-186
	Appendices	

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page no.
3.1	List of cities	70
3.2	Layout of work performed during each phase of the research	85
4.1	Demographic profile of the respondents	88
4.2	Testing the validity of the statements	91
4.3	Testing the reliability of the domains	97
4.4	Testing the relevancy and consistency of the domains of quality of life	97
4.5	Influence of type of city on quality of life	99
4.6	Quality of life of elderly across various age groups	101
4.7	Quality of life of elderly across gender	103
4.8	Effect of living arrangement on quality of life	106
4.9	Effect of living arrangement on physical well being	108
4.10	Effect of living arrangement on health well being	110
4.11	Effect of living arrangement on emotional well being	113
4.12	Effect of living arrangement on social well being	116
4.13	Effect of living arrangement on financial well being	118
4.14	Effect of living arrangement on psychological well being	121
4.15	Effect of living arrangement on spiritual well being	124
4.16	Effect of living arrangement on recreational well being	126
4.17	Correlation between various domains and quality of life among elderly	127
4.18	Correlation between various domains and quality of life among living alone elderly	128
4.19	Correlation between various domains and quality of life among elderly living with family	129
4.20	Correlation between various domains and quality of life among elderly living in old age homes	131
4.21	Quality of life and situational variables (Regression Analysis)	132
4.22	Assessment of knowledge and operation of computers before and after intervention	134

4.23	Percentage distribution of respondents on the basis of knowledge of networking sites and online services	135
4.24	Assessment of knowledge of networking sites and online services	137
4.25	Comparative analysis of knowledge of networking sites and online services	139
4.26	Percentage distribution of respondents on the basis of use of networking sites and online services	140
4.27	Percentage distribution of social networking sites used by elderly	141
4.28	Percentage distribution of respondents on the basis of extent of using social networking sites before and after intervention	142
4.29	Purpose of using networking sites and online services	144
4.30	Assessment of use of networking sites and online services	146
4.31	Comparative analysis of use of networking sites and online services	148
4.32	Comparison of quality of life of elderly in relation to their city before and after intervention	150
4.33	Comparison of quality of life of elderly in relation to their age before and after intervention across ages	153
4.34	Comparison of quality of life of elderly in relation to gender before and after intervention	155
4.35	Comparison of quality of life of elderly in relation to their living arrangement before and after intervention	158

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	Title	Page no.
3.1	Sampling design	75
3.2	Conceptual Framework	80
3.3	Sequence of research work	84
4.1	Distribution of respondents on the basis of city in relation to their quality of life	99
4.2	Distribution of respondents across various age groups in relation to their quality of life	101
4.3	Distribution of respondents across gender in relation to their quality of life	104
4.4	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their quality of life	107
4.5	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their physical well being	109
4.6	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their health well being	111
4.7	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their emotional well being	114
4.8	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their social well being	117
4.9	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their financial well being	119
4.10	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their psychological well being	122
4.11	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their spiritual well being	125
4.12	Distribution of respondents on the basis of living arrangement in relation to their recreational well being	126
4.13	Percentage distribution of respondents on the basis of knowledge regarding computer operation during pre and post testing	134
4.14	Distribution of respondents of metro city after pre and post intervention	151
4.15	Distribution of respondents of A class city after pre and post intervention	151
4.16	Distribution of respondents of B class city after pre and post intervention	152

4.17	Distribution of respondents on the basis of their age group 60-70 years after pre and post intervention	154
4.18	Distribution of respondents on the basis of their age group 71-80 years after pre and post intervention	154
4.19	Distribution of male respondents after pre and post intervention	156
4.20	Distribution of female respondents after pre and post intervention	157
4.21	Distribution of respondents living alone after pre and post intervention	158
4.22	Distribution of respondents living with family after pre and post intervention	159

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix No.	Title
1.	Quality of Life Scale (English)
2.	Quality of Life Scale (Hindi)
3.	Social Networking Questionnaire (English)
4.	Social Networking Questionnaire (Hindi)
5.	Tables of Reliability Analysis of the Scale for Standardization
6.	Classification of Cities
7.	Intervention Booklet (English)
8.	Intervention Booklet (Hindi)
9.	Name and Address of the Respondents
10.	Publications
11.	CV of Research Scholar